



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/664,472	(09/18/2000	Peter A. Graef	WEYC116081	4308	
26389	7590	12/16/2002				
	CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC				EXAMINER	
1420 FIFTH SUITE 2800	FH AVENUE			WEBB, JAMISUE A		
SEATTLE, V	WA 9810	11-2347		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				3761		
				DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002	DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	pplicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/664,472	GRAEF ET AL.					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jamisue A. Webb	3761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY (check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the				
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	l will be entered a w or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-54</u> .			•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \boxtimes The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>02 Decer</u> Examiner.	<u>mber 2002</u> is a)⊠ approved or	b) disapproved	by the				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	$\overline{}$					
10. Other:		ZIII	1/2				
		DENNIS RUHL PRIMARY EXAMII	NER				





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicant has added the limitation that the liquid distribution zones are "fibrous", which has never been considered before and therefore cause further search and consideration. The applicant is arguing the rejections with respect to the claims including the limitation of the "fibrous" liquid distribution zones. The amendment is not being entered, therefore the arguments are not persusive. The applicant is also arguing the prior art Guidotti reference does not disclose a bonded cellulosic fibers as disclosed by the instant invention, due to the fact the Guidotti was not wetlaid. This is a product by Process limitation and are not limited to the manipulations of the steps, only the structure implied by these steps. The cellulosic fibers of Guidotti and the instant invention are the same and the end product limitation of the fibers being bonded is both present in the Guidotti reference as well as the claim, therefore the rejection stands (see MPEP 2113).